

Craig Huey, small business owner, author, TV and radio commentator and publisher of *Reality Alert*.

Reality Alert / Election Forum

"...Where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is Liberty." -(2 Cor. 3:17)

"Vote Your Biblical Values" 2018 Primary Voter Guide



GOVERNOR

	John Chiang—D	Delaine Eastin—D	Gavin Newsom—D	Antonio Villaraigosa—D	Travis Allen—R	John Cox—R
	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	0	Government Rating:	Government Rating: Big
Candidate	Big 68% ; Small 32%	Big 84% ; Small 16%	Big 91% ; Small 9%	Big 86% ; Small 14%	Big 14% ; Small 86%	13%; Small 87%
	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	0	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:
	Christian/Biblical 18%;				Christian/Biblical 86% ;	
	Liberal/Secular 82%	Liberal/Secular 100%	Liberal/Secular 99%	Liberal/Secular 98%	Liberal/Secular 14%	Liberal/Secular 5%
Social issues	Liberal	Liberal	Liberal	Liberal	Conservative	Conservative
Economy – big or small	Big	Big	Big	Big	Small	Small
Cap and trade gas tax increase	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Covered CA	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Single Payer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Only if funded	No	No
Educational Choice	No	No	No	No, but likes charter	Yes	Yes
				schools		
Homescooling regulations and oversight	?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
More Gun Control	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Increase Gas Taxes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Increase Regulations on Businesses	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Change Proposition 13	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Sanctuary State/City	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Lower Taxes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Immigration: Build a Wall	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
DACA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Minimum wage hike	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Protection of Christian business owners	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

www.ElectionForum.org

1

		1			1	
	John Chiang—D	Delaine Eastin—D	Gavin Newsom—D	Antonio Villaraigosa-D	Travis Allen—R	John Cox— <mark>R</mark>
	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating: Big
Candidate	Big 68% ; Small 32%	Big 84% ; Small 16 %	Big 91% ; Small 9%	Big 86% ; Small 14%	Big 14% ; Small 86%	13% ; Small 87%
Candidate	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:
	Christian/Biblical 18%;	Christian/Biblical 0% ;	Christian/Biblical 1%;	Christian/Biblical 2%;	Christian/Biblical 86%;	Christian/Biblical 95% ;
	Liberal/Secular 82%	Liberal/Secular 100%	Liberal/Secular 99%	Liberal/Secular 98%	Liberal/Secular 14%	Liberal/Secular 5%
Protection of Christian government employees	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Remove tax exemption for churches	?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Christian colleges forced to abandon their beliefs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Stop human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Outlaw Christian psychologists/parents' same sex counseling & seminars and books	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Covered California should pay for abortion	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Life begins at conception	No	No	No	No	?	Yes
Taxpayer \$ for Planned Parenthood	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Prolife Clinics promoting abortion	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Parental Consent for abortion	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

Top Ten Family Value California Issues: 1) Protection of Christian business owners, 2) Protection of Christian employees, 3) Christian colleges forced to abandon their beliefs, 4) Outlaw Christian psychologists / parents' same sex counseling, seminars and books, 5) Taxpayer money for Planned Parenthood, 6) Prolife clinics promoting abortion, 7) Parental consent for abortion, 8) Prop 8 support, 9) Partial birth abortion, 10) Banning homeschooling

LT. GOVERNOR

	Ed Hernandez—D	Eleni Kounalakis—D	David Hernandez—R	Cole Harris—R	Jeff Bleich—D
	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:
Candidate	Big 88%; Small 12%	Big 84%; Small 16 %	Big 23%; Small 77%	Big 19%; Small 81%	Big 87%; Small 13 %
	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:
	Christian/Biblical 1%;	Christian/Biblical 3%;	Christian/Biblical 90%;	Christian/Biblical N/A;	Christian/Biblical 6%;
	Liberal/Secular 99%	Liberal/Secular 97%	Liberal/Secular 10%	Liberal/Secular N/A	Liberal/Secular 94%
Social issues	Liberal	Liberal	Conservative	?	Liberal
Economy – big or small	Big	Big	Small	Small	Big
Cap and trade gas tax increase	Yes	Yes	No	?	Yes
Covered CA	Yes	Yes	No	?	Yes
Single Payer	No	No	Yes	?	No
Educational Choice	No	No	Yes	?	No
More Gun Control	Yes	Yes	No	?	Yes
Increase Gas Taxes	Yes	Yes	No	?	Yes
Increase Regulations on Businesses	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes

	Ed Hernandez—D	Eleni Kounalakis-D	David Hernandez-R	Cole Harris—R	Jeff Bleich—D
	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:	Government Rating:
Candidate	Big 88%; Small 12%	Big 84%; Small 16 %	Big 23%; Small 77%	Big 19%; Small 81%	Big 87%; Small 13 %
currate	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:
	Christian/Biblical 1%;	Christian/Biblical 3%;	Christian/Biblical 90%;	Christian/Biblical N/A;	Christian/Biblical 6%;
	Liberal/Secular 99%	Liberal/Secular 97%	Liberal/Secular 10%	Liberal/Secular N/A	Liberal/Secular 94%
Change Proposition 13	Yes	Yes	No	?	Yes
Lower Taxes	No	No	Yes	?	No
Sanctuary State/City	Yes	Yes	No	?	Yes
Top Ten Family Value California Issues	100% No	100% No	100% Yes	?	100% No

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Alex Padilla—D	Mark Meuser—R	
Candidate	Government Rating: Big 89%; Small 11%	Government Rating: Big 14%; Small 86%	
	Worldview Rating: Christian/Biblical 3%; Liberal/Secular 97%	Worldview Rating: Christian/Biblical 83%; Liberal/Secular 17%	
Require voter ID	No	Yes	
Investigate voter fraud	No	Yes	
Opt. Out for undocumented aliens	Yes	No	
Support national voter integrity project	No	Yes	
Top Ten Family Value California issues	100% No	100% Yes	

CONTROLLER

Candidate	Government Rating: Big 81%; Small 19%	Kostas Roditis—R Government Rating: Big 12%; Small 88% Worldview Rating: Christian/Biblical 81%; Liberal/Secular 19%
Economy - general	More government involvement	Less government involvement
Aggressively audit CAL PERS	No	Yes
Aggressively audit city and county employee salaries	No	Yes
For State Tax increase	Yes	No
For Gas Tax Increase	Yes	No
Prop 13 - change it?	Yes	No
For State user Fees	Yes	No
Top Ten Family Value California issues	100% No	100% Yes

TREASURER

Candidate		Jack Guerrero—R Government Rating: Big 18%; Small 82% Worldview Rating: Christian/Biblical 92%; Liberal/Secular 8%
Economy – general	Big	Small
Aggressive Pension Reform	No	Yes
Prop 13 – change it	Yes	No
\$20 Million to Planned Parenthood	Yes	No
Top ten family values California ussues	100% No	100% Yes

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Dave Jones—D	Xavier Becerra—D	Steven Bailey— <mark>R</mark>	Eric Early— <mark>R</mark>
Candidate	Judicial Index Average: 4	Judicial Index Average: 4	Judicial Index Average: 8	Judicial Index Average: 6
	Activist-Constructionist Rating: 1	Activist—Constructionist Rating: 1	Activist—Constructionist Rating: 9	Activist—Constructionist Rating: 7
	Qualifications Rating: 7	Qualifications Rating: 7	Qualifications Rating: 6	Qualifications Rating: 6
Economy – general	Big	Big	Small	Small
Greater gun control	Yes	Yes	No	?
Use private prisons	No	No	Yes	?
Pro-life pregnancy centers lawsuit	Yes	Yes	No	?
David Daleidan / Planned Parenthood (15 felonies)	Yes	Yes	No	?
Support lawsuit against Little Sister of the Poor	Yes	Yes	No	?
Supports lawsuit against Washington's fight against	Yes	Yes	No	3
sanctuary state	fes	Tes	NO	r.
Sanctuary State/City	Yes	Yes	No	?
Top Ten Family Value California Issues	100% No	100% No	100% Yes	?

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Ricardo Lara—D	Steve Poizner—R
Candidate	Government Rating: Big 89%; Small 11% Worldview Rating: Christian/Biblical 2%; Liberal/Secular 98%	Government Rating: Big 25%; Small 75% Worldview Rating: Christian/Biblical 69%; Liberal/Secular 31%
Economy - general	More government involvement	Less government involvement
Prop 13 – change it?	Yes	No
Taxpayer support for abortion	Yes	No
More free market competition in insurance industry	No	Yes
Promote Health Savings Accounts	No	Yes
Supports Covered California (California Affordable Care Act)	Yes	No
Tougher laws on lawsuits	No	Yes
Single Payer	Yes	No
Top Ten Family Value California Issues	100% No	80% Yes

STATE SUPERINTENDENT PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

	Tony Thurmond—D	Marshall Tuck—D
Candidate	Overall Rating: 3	Overall Rating: 5
	Qualification: 5	Qualification: 6
	Educational Philosophy: 1	Educational Philosophy: 5
Regulate Homeschooling	Yes	No
Increase State taxes for education	Yes	No -fix problems first
Teach Intelligent Design as alternative option	No	No
Allow religious songs at Christmas	No	Yes
Allow Voucher/tax credits for parents	No	No
Protection and support of Charter schools	Hostile	Yes
Enact child predator bill	No	Yes
Tenure Reform Case	No	Yes
Supports Common Core	Yes	Yes
Transgender Bathroom Bill?	Кеер	Change
Parental notification	No	Yes
Create vocational training	No	Yes
Prop 13 – change it?	Yes	Yes
Top Ten Family Value California issues	100% No	60% No

U.S. SENATE

	Dianne Feinstein—D	Kevin De Leon—D	Tom Palzer—R	Paul Taylor— <mark>R</mark>	Erin Cruz—R
	Government Rating: Big	Government Rating: Big	Government Rating: Big	Government Rating: Big	Government Rating: Big
Candidate	80%; Small 20%	88%; Small 12 %	19%; Small 81%	9%; Small 91%	18%; Small 82%
	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:
	Christian/Biblical 6%;	Christian/Biblical 0%;	Christian/Biblical 89%;	Christian/Biblical 96%;	Christian/Biblical 63%;
	Liberal/Secular 94%	Liberal/Secular 100%	Liberal/Secular 11%	Liberal/Secular 4%	Liberal/Secular 37%
Obamacare should pay for abortion	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Life begins at Conception	No	No	?	Yes	?
Taxpayer \$ for Planned Parenthood	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Pro life Clinics Promoting abortion	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Parental Consent on abortion	No	No	Yes	Yes	?
Protection of Christian Business Owners	No	No	Yes	Yes	?
Protection of Christian Government Employees	No	No	Yes	Yes	?
Biblical prayer and witnessing in the military	No	No	Yes	Yes	?
Reinstate The Fairness Doctrine	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Remove Tax Exemption for Churches	Yes	Yes	No	No	?

	Dianne Feinstein—D	Kevin De Leon—D	Tom Palzer—R	Paul Taylor—R	Erin Cruz— <mark>R</mark>
	Government Rating: Big	Government Rating: Big	Government Rating: Big	Government Rating: Big	Government Rating: Big
Candidate	80%; Small 20%	88%; Small 12 %	19%; Small 81%	9%; Small 91%	18%; Small 82%
	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:	Worldview Rating:
	Christian/Biblical 6%;	Christian/Biblical 0%;	Christian/Biblical 89%;	Christian/Biblical 96%;	Christian/Biblical 63%;
	Liberal/Secular 94%	Liberal/Secular 100%	Liberal/Secular 11%	Liberal/Secular 4%	Liberal/Secular 37%
Christian Colleges forced to abandon their beliefs	Yes	Yes	No	No	?
Stop Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Repeal Johnson Amendment	No	No	Yes	Yes	?
Support for Israel	Lukewarm	No	Yes	Yes	?
Move American Embassy to Jerusalem	No	No	Yes	Yes	?
Minimum Wage Hike	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Immigration: Build a Wall	No	No	Yes	Yes	?
DACA	Yes	Yes	?	?	End it

JUDICIAL RATINGS

Judges sitting on California courts may be incompetent, corrupt or lazy. Even worse, many are political opportunists with a political agenda.

There are two types of judges. One is the **"judicial activist."** A judicial activist legislates from the bench. Instead of strictly *interpreting* California law, these judges *make* the laws. Instead of applying the law to facts, they rule based on their own values

Judicial activists have:

- Overturned voter-passed initiatives and laws passed by the state legislature because they personally have a different belief system. They twist the law to rationalize their decisions.
- Imposed their own moral codes, political beliefs and secular values in an effort to reshape our society and promote social engineering.

The second judicial philosophy, "strict constructionist," is one in which the judge impartially arrives at a fair judgment based on law. Judicial activists are usually more liberal; strict constructionists are usually more conservative.



HOW WE COME UP WITH A FINAL RATING

We come up with the final rating by taking the average of the candidate's Judicial Index and Qualifications. For example, if the candidate had a Judicial Index of 8 and Qualifications of 6, their overall rating would be 7.

Alameda County	Fresno County		Humboldt County	Imperial County	
Office 11	Office 4	Office 8		Seat 1	Seat 3
Karen Katz: 5	William Joseph Terrence: 7	Robert Mangano: 7	Lawrence Killoran: 5	Robert A. Espinosa: 5	Carlos Acuna: 5
Tara M. Flanagan: 4	Roger D. Wilson: 7	Brent Woodward: 5	Lathe Gill: 4	Juan Ulloa: 5	Monica Lepe Negrete: 4
				Steve Escalera: 5	Tom Storey: 9
Kern County		Los Angeles County			
Office 10	Office 14	Office 4	Office 16	Office 20	Office 60
Brandon Martin: 8	John Fielder: 4	Alfred A. Coletta: 7	Sydne Jane Michael: 7	Mary Ann Escalante: 8	Tony J. Cho: 6
Chad Allen Louie: 9	M. Cole McKnight: 7	A. Veronica Sauceda: 5	Hubert Yun: 9	Wendy Segall: 7	Ben Colella: 4
		Matthew Schonbrun: 4	Patricia Hunter: 5		Holly Hancock: 3
Los Angeles County					
Office 63	Office 67	Office 71	Office 113	Office 118	Office 126
Anthony Lewis: 4	Onica Valle Cole: 4	David A. Berger: 9	Javier Perez: 5	Troy Davis: 6	Ken Fuller: 7
Malcolm Mackey: 5	Dennis P. Vincent: 5	Danielle R.A. Gibbons: 4	Steven Schreiner: 8	David D. Diamond: 5	Schlomo Frieman: 5
	Maria Lucy Armendariz: 3		Michael P. Ribons: 6		Rene Caldwell Gilbertson: 4
		1			
Los Angeles County	Orange County	Placer County	Riverside County	Sacramento County	San Bernardino Coun
Office 146	Office 13	Office 2	Office 2	Office 13	Office 2
mily Theresa Spear: 6	Theodore Howard: 7	Rebecca L. Chenoweth: 6	Shaffer Cormell: 9	Paul Seave: 8	Arthur Harrison: 6
Armando Duron: 3	Franklin Dunn: 6	Kulvinder Singh: 4	Timothy J. Hollenhorst: 8	Rebecca Chenoweth: 6	David Lopez: 4
		Todd D. Irby: 7			
San Diego County		San Francisco County	/		
Office 28	Office 37	Seat 4	Seat 7	Seat 9	Seat 11
icki Rothman: 10	Gary G. Kreep: 9	Andrew Y.S. Cheng: 5	Maria Evangelista: 3	Kwixuan A. Maloof: 3	Niki Judith Solis: 3
lerbert J. Exarhos: 7	Matt Brower: 4	Phoenix Streets: 4	Curtis Karnow: 5	Cynthia Ming-Mei Lee:4	Jeffrey S. Ross: 5
	Tim Nader: 4			Elizabeth Zareh: 5	
	Victor Torres: 5				

San Joaquin County	San Luis Obispo County			San Mateo County	Santa Clara County
Office 11	Office 2	Office 4	Office 9	Office 2	Successor to Recall
Mark Thiel: 8	Andy Cadena: 8	llan Funke-Bilu: 10	Tana Coates: 4	Richard Wilson: 7	Angela F. Storey: 6
John R. Soldati: 7	Hernaldo Baltodano: 4	Timothy S. Covello: 5	Craig Van Rooyen: 6	Gerald J. Buchwald: 5	Cindy Seely Hendrickson: 5
Santa Cruz County	Solano County Stanislaus Count		Ventura County		
	Office 3	Office 2	Office 4	Office 6	
Syda K. Cogliati: 5	John M. Coffer: 7	Carrie M. Stephens: 8	Anthony J. Sabo: 8	Derek D. Malan: 7	
Zachariah D. Schwarzbach: 5	Stephen Gizzi: 5	Crystal S. Swanson: 4	Caleb Donner: 5	Michael L. Magasinn: 3	
PROPOSITIONS		FC	R ADDITIONAL RACE	<mark>S -</mark> Go to www.ElectionFo	rum.org
PROPOSITIONS		FC	R ADDITIONAL RACE	<mark>S</mark> - Go to www.ElectionFo	orum.org
Proposition 68 YES NO	—		R ADDITIONAL RACE	<mark>S - Go to www.ElectionFo</mark>	orum.org
Proposition 68 YES D NO	ks, Natural Resources Protecti		R ADDITIONAL RACE	<mark>S</mark> - Go to www.ElectionFo	orum.org
Proposition 68 YES D NO Authorizes Bond Funding par	ks, Natural Resources Protecti d Flood Protection		R ADDITIONAL RACE	<mark>S</mark> - Go to www.ElectionFo	orum.org
Proposition 68 YES □ NO Authorizes Bond Funding par Water Quality and Supply, ar Proposition 69 YES □ NO Requires that certain new training	ks, Natural Resources Protecti d Flood Protection nsportation revenues be used	on, Climate Adaptation,	R ADDITIONAL RACE	<mark>S - Go to www.ElectionFo</mark>	orum.org
Proposition 68 YES □ NO Authorizes Bond Funding part Water Quality and Supply, ar Proposition 69 YES □ NO Requires that certain new trate Legislative constitutional and the second	ks, Natural Resources Protecti d Flood Protection nsportation revenues be used nendment.	on, Climate Adaptation,		S - Go to www.ElectionFo	
Proposition 68 YES □ NO Authorizes Bond Funding part Water Quality and Supply, and Proposition 69 YES □ NO Requires that certain new trategislative constitutional and Proposition 70 YES □ NO	ks, Natural Resources Protecti d Flood Protection nsportation revenues be used nendment.	on, Climate Adaptation, d for transportation purposes.	For recommendat		Senate, Congress,
Proposition 68 YES □ NO Authorizes Bond Funding part Water Quality and Supply, and Proposition 69 YES □ NO Requires that certain new trader Legislative constitutional and Proposition 70 YES □ NO Requires Legislative Superm NO	ks, Natural Resources Protecti d Flood Protection nsportation revenues be used nendment. ajority Vote Approving Use of C	on, Climate Adaptation, d for transportation purposes.	For recommendat	tions on State Assembly, State	Senate, Congress,
Proposition 68 YES □ NO Authorizes Bond Funding part Water Quality and Supply, and Water Quality and Supply, and Proposition 69 YES □ NO Requires that certain new trategislative constitutional and Proposition 70 YES □ NO Requires Legislative Superm Legislative Constitutional And NO	 ks, Natural Resources Protectind Flood Protection nsportation revenues be used another the second ment. ajority Vote Approving Use of Contendment 	on, Climate Adaptation, d for transportation purposes.	For recommendat	tions on State Assembly, State zation, Sheriff, and other count	Senate, Congress,
Proposition 68 YES □ NO Authorizes Bond Funding part Water Quality and Supply, and Proposition 69 YES □ NO Requires that certain new tracter constitutional and NO Proposition 70 YES □ NO Requires Legislative Superm Legislative Constitutional And Proposition 71 YES □ NO	ks, Natural Resources Protecti d Flood Protection nsportation revenues be used nendment. ajority Vote Approving Use of C nendment	on, Climate Adaptation, d for transportation purposes. Cap–And-Trade Reserve Fund.	For recommendat	tions on State Assembly, State zation, Sheriff, and other count	Senate, Congress,
Proposition 68 YES □ NO Authorizes Bond Funding part Water Quality and Supply, ar Proposition 69 YES □ NO Requires that certain new trategislative constitutional and Proposition 70 YES □ NO Requires Legislative Superm Legislative Constitutional And Proposition 71 YES □ NO Sets Effective Date for Ballot NO Sets Effective Date for Ballot	ks, Natural Resources Protecti d Flood Protection nsportation revenues be used nendment. jority Vote Approving Use of C nendment Measures Legislative Constitu	on, Climate Adaptation, d for transportation purposes. Cap–And-Trade Reserve Fund.	For recommendat	tions on State Assembly, State zation, Sheriff, and other count	Senate, Congress,
Proposition 68 YES □ NO Authorizes Bond Funding part Water Quality and Supply, and Proposition 69 YES □ NO Requires that certain new trater Legislative constitutional and Proposition 70 YES □ NO Requires Legislative Superm Legislative Constitutional And Proposition 71 YES □ NO Sets Effective Date for Ballot Proposition 72 YES □ NO	ks, Natural Resources Protecti d Flood Protection nsportation revenues be used nendment. jority Vote Approving Use of C nendment Measures Legislative Constitu	on, Climate Adaptation, d for transportation purposes. Cap–And-Trade Reserve Fund. utional Amendment	For recommendat	tions on State Assembly, State zation, Sheriff, and other count	Senate, Congress,

For all other campaigns, all other California counties, a Voter Guide to distribute, upcoming event schedules and more, go to **www.ElectionForum.org**.